

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/623,121	07/18/2003	Luke K. Liang	Vision 103P	4461
75	90 07/26/2006		EXAM	INER
Thomas A. O'Rourke			REDMAN, JERRY E	
Bodner & O'Rourke, LLP 425 Broadhollow Road			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Melville, NY 11747			3634	
			DATE MAILED: 07/26/2006	6

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/623,121	LIANG ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Jerry Redman	3634			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLANT AND A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR PERI	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be to divid apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDON	DN. imely filed m the mailing date of this communication. IED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11 in 2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is application is in condition for allowed closed in accordance with the practice under	is action is non-final. ance except for formal matters, p				
Disposition of Claims					
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 4.7 and 10-46 is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) ⊠ Claim(s) 7.13.23-29 and 31-46 is/are allowed 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 4, 10-12, 14-22, and 30 is/are reject 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	awn from consideration. I. ed.				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examination The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acceptable and applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examination is objected.	cepted or b) objected to by the edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. So ction is required if the drawing(s) is o	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a). bjected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some color None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summar Paper No(s)/Mail [3) 5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:				

Art Unit: 3634

The status of the claims is as follows:

Claims 1-3, 5, 6, 8, and 9 are cancelled; and

Claims 4, 7, and 10-46 are herein addressed below.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claims 4, 10-12, 14-22, and 30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. In claim 10, lines 1-2, claim 14, lines 1-2, and claim 30, lines 1-2, it is not readily apparent to the Examiner if the applicant is claiming a balance shoe or a balance shoe in combination with a window/window sash. Throughout the claims, the applicant clearly and positively sets forth the window/window sash. If the applicant intends on claiming the combination then the applicant should clearly and positively recite the window/window sash in the preamble. In claim 10, line 15, there is a lack of antecedent basis for "said window sash". In claim 13, line 5, it appears that --,-- should be inserted after "wall" first occurrence. In claim 14, line 20, there is a lack of antecedent basis for "said window sash". In claim 30, line 29, the phraseology "such (?) window" is not readily understood by the Examiner

Art Unit: 3634

Claims 4, 10-12, 14-22, and 30 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action.

Claims 7, 13, 23-29, and 31-46 are allowable.

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 4, 7, and 10-46 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Art Unit: 3634

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Jerry Redman at telephone number 571-272-6835.

Jerry Redman Primary Examiner